

**ERROR ESTIMATE FOR THE GAUSS QUADRATURE FORMULA:  
THE GAUSS-KRONROD vs THE ANTI-GAUSSIAN APPROACH**

SOTIRIOS E. NOTARIS  
NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS  
ATHENS, GREECE

NASCA 2018  
Kalamata, Greece  
July 2-6, 2018

Work supported by the Special Account for Research Grants of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens.

## Gauss quadrature formula (Gauss 1814)

Let  $d\sigma$  be a (nonnegative) measure on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu f(\tau_\nu) + R_n^G(f), \quad (1)$$

where:

- The  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}$  are the zeros of the  $n$ th degree (monic) orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot) = \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$ , hence, they are all in  $(a, b)$ .
- The  $\lambda_\nu = \lambda_\nu^{(n)}$  are all positive.
- Formula (1) has precise degree of exactness  $d_n^G = 2n - 1$ , i.e.,  $R_n^G(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$ .

## Methods for studying the error term $R_n^G$

- Peano kernel methods

Given that  $R_n^G(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{P}_{s-1}$ , if  $f$  has a piecewise continuous derivative of order  $s$  on  $[a, b]$  (or, less restrictively,  $f^{(s-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ ), then, by the Peano representation theorem,

$$|R_n^G(f)| \leq c_s \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |f^{(s)}(t)|, \quad c_s = \int_a^b |K_s(t)| dt, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, d_n^G + 1,$$

where  $K_s$  is the  $s$ -th Peano kernel of  $R_n^G$ .

For  $s = d_n^G + 1 = 2n$ , we have  $c_{2n} = [(2n)!]^{-1} \int_a^b \pi_n^2(t) d\sigma(t)$ .

Task: Compute or estimate  $\int_a^b |K_s(t)| dt$ , even asymptotically.

- Contour integration methods

If  $f$  is single-valued holomorphic in a domain  $D$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a contour in  $D$  surrounding  $[a, b]$  and  $\ell(\Gamma)$  is the length of  $\Gamma$ , then

$$|R_n^G(f)| \leq \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{2\pi} \max_{z \in \Gamma} |\tilde{K}_n(z)| \max_{z \in \Gamma} |f(z)|,$$

where  $\tilde{K}$  is the kernel of  $R_n^G$ .

Task: Compute or estimate  $\max_{z \in \Gamma} |\tilde{K}_n(z)|$ .

- Hilbert space techniques

If  $f$  is single-valued holomorphic in a domain  $D$  and  $H = H(D)$  is a Hilbert space, then  $R_n^G$  is a bounded linear functional in  $H$  and

$$|R_n^G(f)| \leq \|R_n^G\| \|f\|,$$

where  $\|R_n^G\|$  is the norm of the error functional  $R_n^G$  and  $\|f\|$  is the norm of  $f$  in the Hilbert space  $H$ .

Task: Compute or estimate  $\|R_n^G\|$ .

W. GAUTSCHI, A survey of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae, in *E.B. Christoffel: The influence of his work on mathematics and the physical sciences*, P.L. Butzer and F. Fehér, eds., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, pp. 72-147.

What can we do if the smoothness of  $f$  is quite low or if we have no information on the smoothness of  $f$ ?

### Practical error estimator

Let  $I(f) = \int_a^b f(t)d\sigma(t)$ ,  $Q_n^G(f) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu f(\tau_\nu)$  and consider a quadrature formula with  $m > n$  points, quadrature sum  $Q_m(f)$  and degree of exactness greater than  $2n - 1$ . Then, we write

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_m(f)|, \quad (2)$$

i.e.,  $Q_m(f)$  plays the role of the “true” value of  $I(f)$ .

As the Gauss formula has optimal degree of exactness for an  $n$ -point quadrature formula, the smallest value for  $m = n + 1$ .

So, what is an appropriate  $m$ -point formula?

In particular, using the already known  $f(\tau_\nu)$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , can we find a quadrature formula of the highest possible degree of exactness by allowing  $n + 1$  additional evaluations of the function, i.e., a quadrature formula which uses the  $\tau_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and, in addition,  $n + 1$  new points  $\tau_\mu^*$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ ?

## Gauss-Kronrod quadrature formula (Kronrod 1964)

Let  $d\sigma$  be a (nonnegative) measure on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t)d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_\nu f(\tau_\nu) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} \sigma_\mu^* f(\tau_\mu^*) + R_n^K(f), \quad (3)$$

where:

- The  $\tau_\nu$  are the Gauss nodes.
- The  $\tau_\mu^* = \tau_\mu^{*(n)}$ ,  $\sigma_\nu = \sigma_\nu^{(n)}$ ,  $\sigma_\mu^* = \sigma_\mu^{*(n)}$  are chosen such that formula (3) has maximum degree of exactness.
- Formula (3) has degree of exactness (at least)  $d_n^K = 3n + 1$ .

Desirable properties:

- The nodes  $\tau_\mu^*$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ , are all real and interlace with the nodes  $\tau_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , of the Gauss formula, that is,

$$\tau_{n+1}^* < \tau_n < \tau_n^* < \dots < \tau_2^* < \tau_1 < \tau_1^*.$$

- The nodes  $\tau_\mu^*$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ , are all contained in  $[a, b]$ .
- The weights  $\sigma_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\sigma_\mu^*$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ , are all positive.

The  $Q_n^K(f) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_\nu f(\tau_\nu) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} \sigma_\mu^* f(\tau_\mu^*)$  can be used in place of  $Q_m(f)$  in (2).

Advantage: With  $n + 1$  new evaluations of the function (at the  $\tau_\mu^*$ ) the degree of exactness is raised from  $2n - 1$  to (at least)  $3n + 1$ .

## Stieltjes polynomial (Stieltjes 1894)

The Kronrod nodes  $\tau_\mu^*$  are zeros of a polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot; d\sigma)$ , discovered by Stieltjes, through his work on continued fractions and the moment problem, which is characterized and can be uniquely defined by the orthogonality condition

$$\int_a^b \pi_{n+1}^*(t) t^k \pi_n(t) d\sigma(t) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,  $\pi_{n+1}^*$  is orthogonal to all polynomials of lower degree relative to the variable-sign measure  $d\sigma^*(t) = \pi_n(t)d\sigma(t)$  on  $[a, b]$ .

In view of the above, the Stieltjes polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*$  might have complex zeros, in which case the corresponding Gauss-Kronrod formula fails to exist, with real and distinct nodes in the interval of integration and positive weights. Unfortunately, this happens for several of the classical measures:

- For the Gegenbauer measure  $d\sigma_\lambda(t) = (1 - t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ , when  $\lambda > 3$  and  $n$  sufficiently large.
- For the Jacobi measure  $d\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = (1-t)^\alpha(1+t)^\beta dt$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ , when  $\min(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$  and  $\max(\alpha, \beta) > 5/2$  and  $n$  sufficiently large.
- For the Hermite measure  $d\sigma^H(t) = e^{-t^2} dt$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .
- For the Laguerre measure  $d\sigma^{(\alpha)}(t) = t^\alpha e^{-t} dt$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , when  $-1 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and  $n$  sufficiently large.

We have positive results, i.e., the Gauss-Kronrod formula exists with real and distinct nodes in the interval of integration and positive weights, for several nonclassical measures, in particular, the Bernstein-Szegő measures, which are defined by

$$d\sigma^{(\pm 1/2)}(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)^{\pm 1/2}}{\rho(t)} dt, \quad -1 < t < 1,$$

$$d\sigma^{(\pm 1/2, \mp 1/2)}(t) = \frac{(1-t)^{\pm 1/2}(1+t)^{\mp 1/2}}{\rho(t)} dt, \quad -1 < t < 1,$$

where  $\rho$  is an arbitrary polynomial that remains positive on  $[-1, 1]$ .

S.N., Gauss-Kronrod quadrature formulae - A survey of fifty years of research, *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.*, v. 45, 2016, pp. 371–404.

So, what can we do in those cases that the Gauss-Kronrod formula fails to exist?

## Anti-Gaussian quadrature formula (Laurie 1996)

Let  $d\sigma$  be a (nonnegative) measure on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} w_\mu f(t_\mu) + R_{n+1}^{AG}(f), \quad (4)$$

which is designed to have an error precisely opposite to the error of the Gauss formula, that is, if

$$Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} w_\mu f(t_\mu),$$

then

$$R_{n+1}^{AG}(p) = -R_n^G(p) \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n+1},$$

i.e.,

$$I(p) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(p) = -[I(p) - Q_n^G(p)] \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}.$$

The anti-Gaussian formula has the following properties:

- The nodes  $t_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , are zeros of the polynomial

$$\pi_{n+1}^{AG}(t) = \pi_{n+1}(t) - \beta_n \pi_{n-1}(t),$$

where  $\beta_n$  are the coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation for the orthogonal polynomials  $\pi_n$ .

- The nodes  $t_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , are all real and interlace with the nodes  $\tau_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , of the Gauss formula.
- The nodes  $t_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , are all contained in  $[a, b]$ .
- The node  $t_{n+1} \in [a, b]$  if and only if  $\frac{\pi_{n+1}(a)}{\pi_{n-1}(a)} \geq \beta_n$ , and the node  $t_1 \in [a, b]$  if and only if  $\frac{\pi_{n+1}(b)}{\pi_{n-1}(b)} \geq \beta_n$ .
- The weights  $w_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , are all positive.
- The anti-Gaussian formula can easily be constructed.

### Averaged Gaussian quadrature formula (Laurie 1996)

This is the  $(2n + 1)$ -point quadrature formula obtained by the quadrature sum

$$Q_{2n+1}^{AvG}(f) = \frac{1}{2}(Q_n^G(f) + Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f)). \quad (5)$$

This formula has degree of exactness (at least)  $2n + 1$ .

The  $Q_{2n+1}^{AvG}(f)$  can be used in place of  $Q_m(f)$  in (2).

Advantage: With  $n + 1$  new evaluations of the function (at the  $t_\mu$ ) the degree of exactness is raised from  $2n - 1$  to (at least)  $2n + 1$ .

## Comparison between Gauss-Kronrod formula and averaged Gaussian formula

- The Gauss-Kronrod formula does not always exist with the desirable properties, but whenever it does exist its degree of exactness is (at least)  $3n + 1$ .
- The averaged Gaussian formula does always exist with the desirable properties, but the degree of exactness is (at least)  $2n + 1$ .
- Can we have a formula that combines the advantages of both the Gauss-Kronrod formula and the averaged Gaussian formula, i.e., a formula having the desirable properties as well as degree of exactness (at least)  $3n + 1$ ?
- Is it possible for a measure  $d\sigma$  on  $[a, b]$  to get the same error estimate for the Gauss formula by using either the Gauss-Kronrod formula or the averaged Gaussian formula, i.e., a measure  $d\sigma$  on  $[a, b]$  for which the Gauss-Kronrod formula coincides with the averaged Gaussian formula?

## Measures with constant recurrence coefficients (Gautschi and N. 1996)

Let the (monic) orthogonal polynomials relative to a (nonnegative) measure  $d\sigma$  satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form,

$$\pi_{n+1}(t) = (t - \alpha_n)\pi_n(t) - \beta_n\pi_{n-1}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\alpha_n = \alpha, \quad \beta_n = \beta \quad \text{for all } n \geq \ell,$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta_n > 0$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\pi_0(t) = 1$ ,  $\pi_{-1}(t) = 0$ . Any such measure  $d\sigma$  is known to be supported on a finite interval, say  $[a, b]$  (Chihara 1978; Mate, Nevai and VanAssche 1991). We write  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha, \beta)}[a, b]$ .

Among the many orthogonal polynomials satisfying a recurrence relation of this kind are the four Chebyshev-type polynomials, as well as those associated with the Bernstein-Szegő measures.

If  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha, \beta)}[a, b]$ , then trivially  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and it follows (Chihara 1978) that

$$[\alpha - 2\sqrt{\beta}, \alpha + 2\sqrt{\beta}] \tag{6}$$

is the “limiting spectral interval” of  $d\sigma$ . Although  $d\sigma$  might have support points outside the interval (6), for inclusion results we will assume the following property.

**Property A** The measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha, \beta)}[a, b]$  is such that

$$a = \alpha - 2\sqrt{\beta}, \quad b = \alpha + 2\sqrt{\beta}.$$

**Gauss-Kronrod formulae for measures with constant recurrence coefficients (Gautschi and N. 1996)**

**Theorem 1** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the corresponding Stieltjes polynomials are given by

$$\pi_{n+1}^*(t) = \pi_{n+1}(t) - \beta\pi_{n-1}(t) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2\ell - 1.$$

**Proposition 2** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}[a, b]$  and let  $\tau_\nu$  be the zeros of the corresponding orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n$ . Then

$$\pi_{n+1}(\tau_\nu) = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{n+1}^*(\tau_\nu), \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

**Theorem 3** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the following holds:

(a) The Gauss-Kronrod formula (3) has the interlacing property for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ , that is,

$$\tau_{n+1}^* < \tau_n < \tau_n^* < \dots < \tau_2^* < \tau_1 < \tau_1^*. \quad (7)$$

(b) If  $d\sigma$  has Property A, then all  $\tau_\mu^*$  are in  $[a, b]$  for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

(c) All weights  $\sigma_\nu, \sigma_\mu^*$  in formula (3) are positive for each  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ . In particular,

$$\sigma_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\lambda_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the weights in the Gauss formula (1).

(d) Formula (3) has degree of exactness (at least)  $4n - 2\ell + 2$  if  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

**Anti-Gaussian and averaged Gaussian formulae for measures with constant recurrence coefficients (Spalević 2017, N. 2018)**

**Theorem 4** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the following holds:

(a) The anti-Gaussian formula (4) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  is given by

$$t_\mu = \tau_\mu^*, \quad w_\mu = 2\sigma_\mu^*, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1,$$

where  $\tau_\mu^*$  are the Stieltjes nodes and  $\sigma_\mu^*$  the corresponding weights in the respective Gauss-Kronrod formula (3).

(b) The averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  gives the same error estimate for  $R_n^G(f)$  as the Gauss-Kronrod formula (3), that is,

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_n^K(f)| = |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{2n+1}^{AvG}(f)| = \frac{|Q_n^G(f) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f)|}{2}.$$

(c) The averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  has degree of exactness (at least)  $4n - 2\ell + 2$ .

### Modified anti-Gaussian quadrature formula (Calvetti and Reichel 2003)

Let  $d\sigma$  be a (nonnegative) measure on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and

$$\int_a^b f(t)d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} w_{\mu}f(t_{\mu}) + R_{n+1}^{MAG}(f), \quad (8)$$

which, if

$$Q_{n+1}^{MAG}(f) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+1} w_{\mu}f(t_{\mu}),$$

is designed such that

$$R_{n+1}^{AG}(p) = -\gamma R_n^G(p) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

i.e.,

$$I(p) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(p) = -\gamma[I(p) - Q_n^G(p)] \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

### Generalized averaged Gaussian quadrature formula (Spalević 2007)

This is the  $(2n + 1)$ -point quadrature formula obtained by the quadrature sum

$$Q_{2n+1}^{GAvg}(f) = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma}(\gamma Q_n^G(f) + Q_{n+1}^{MAG}(f)), \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 5 (N. 2018)** Let the (nonnegative) measure  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and assume that the respective orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}(\cdot; d\sigma)$  and Stieltjes polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot; d\sigma)$  satisfy

$$\pi_{n+1}(\tau_\nu) = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \pi_{n+1}^*(\tau_\nu), \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

where  $\tau_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the zeros of the orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot) = \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$ . Then the following hold:

(a) The Gauss-Kronrod formula (3) has the interlacing property (7) and all weights  $\sigma_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\sigma_\mu^*$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , are positive.

(b) The modified anti-Gaussian formula (8) is given by

$$t_\mu = \tau_\mu^*, \quad w_\mu = (1+\gamma)\sigma_\mu^*, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

(c) The generalized averaged Gaussian formula (9) gives the same error estimate for  $R_n^G(f)$  as the Gauss-Kronrod formula (3), that is,

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_n^K(f)| = |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{2n+1}^{GAvG}(f)| = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{n+1}^{MAG}(f)|, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

(d) The generalized averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (9) has degree of exactness (at least)  $3n+1$ .

## Measures with constant recurrence coefficients extended (N. submitted)

Let the (monic) orthogonal polynomials relative to a (nonnegative) measure  $d\sigma$  satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+1}(t) &= (t - \alpha_n)\pi_n(t) - \beta_n\pi_{n-1}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \alpha_n &= \begin{cases} \alpha_e, & n \text{ even,} \\ \alpha_o, & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad \beta_n = \beta \text{ for } n \geq \ell, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta_n > 0$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\pi_0(t) = 1$ ,  $\pi_{-1}(t) = 0$ . Any such measure  $d\sigma$  is known to be supported on a finite interval, say  $[a, b]$  (Chihara 1978). We write  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ .

If  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ , then trivially  $\alpha_{2n} \rightarrow \alpha_e$ ,  $\alpha_{2n-1} \rightarrow \alpha_o$  and  $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and it follows (Chihara 1978) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_o - \sqrt{(\alpha_e - \alpha_o)^2 + 16\beta}}{2}, a^* \right] \cup \left[ b^*, \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_o + \sqrt{(\alpha_e - \alpha_o)^2 + 16\beta}}{2} \right], \quad (10) \\ &a^* = \min(\alpha_e, \alpha_o), \quad b^* = \max(\alpha_e, \alpha_o), \end{aligned}$$

is the ‘‘limiting spectral interval’’ of  $d\sigma$ . Although  $d\sigma$  might have support points outside the interval (10), for inclusion results we will assume the following property.

**Property A<sub>e</sub>** The measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$  is such that

$$a = \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_o - \sqrt{(\alpha_e - \alpha_o)^2 + 16\beta}}{2}, \quad b = \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_o + \sqrt{(\alpha_e - \alpha_o)^2 + 16\beta}}{2}.$$

**Gauss-Kronrod formulae for measures with constant recurrence coefficients extended (N. submitted)**

**Theorem 6** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the corresponding Stieltjes polynomials are given by

$$\pi_{n+1}^*(t) = \pi_{n+1}(t) - \beta\pi_{n-1}(t) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2\ell - 1. \quad (11)$$

Are there any other measures, besides those in the class  $\mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ , for which the corresponding Stieltjes polynomials are given by (11)?

**Theorem 7** Consider a (nonnegative) measure  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and let the respective monic orthogonal polynomials  $\pi_n(\cdot) = \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$  satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+1}(t) &= (t - \alpha_n)\pi_n(t) - \beta_n\pi_{n-1}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \pi_0(t) &= 1, \quad \pi_{-1}(t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(d\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_n = \beta_n(d\sigma) > 0$ . If the corresponding monic Stieltjes polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot; d\sigma)$  is given by

$$\pi_{n+1}^*(t) = \pi_{n+1}(t) - \hat{\beta}\pi_{n-1}(t) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2\ell - 1,$$

where  $\hat{\beta} > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \alpha_{n+2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2\ell - 2, \\ \beta_n &= \hat{\beta} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The first of these relations immediately leads to

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \hat{\alpha}_e, & n \text{ even,} \\ \hat{\alpha}_o, & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad n \geq 2\ell - 2.$$

**Proposition 8** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$  and let  $\tau_\nu$  be the zeros of the corresponding orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n$ . Then

$$\pi_{n+1}(\tau_\nu) = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{n+1}^*(\tau_\nu), \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (12)$$

for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

Are there any other measures, besides those in the class  $\mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ , for which the corresponding Stieltjes polynomials satisfy a functional relation of the form (12) (which is of even broader scope than (11))?

**Theorem 9** Consider a (nonnegative) measure  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , and assume that the respective monic orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}(\cdot; d\sigma)$  and monic Stieltjes polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot; d\sigma)$ , both of degree  $n+1$ , satisfy, at the zeros  $\tau_\nu$  of the  $n$ th degree monic orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot) = \pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$ , the functional relation (12) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ . Then, for the coefficients  $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(d\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_n = \beta_n(d\sigma) > 0$  of the three-term recurrence relation for the  $\pi_n$ 's, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \alpha_{n+2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2\ell - 2, \\ \beta_n &= \beta_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2\ell - 1. \end{aligned}$$

From this, there immediately follows that

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \hat{\alpha}_e, & n \text{ even,} \\ \hat{\alpha}_o, & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad n \geq 2\ell - 2,$$

$$\beta_n = \hat{\beta}, \quad n \geq 2\ell - 1.$$

**Theorem 10** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the following holds:

(a) The Gauss-Kronrod formula (3) has the interlacing property for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ , that is,

$$\tau_{n+1}^* < \tau_n < \tau_n^* < \cdots < \tau_2^* < \tau_1 < \tau_1^*.$$

(b) If  $d\sigma$  has Property A<sub>e</sub>, then all  $\tau_\mu^*$  are in  $[a, b]$  for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

(c) All weights  $\sigma_\nu, \sigma_\mu^*$  in formula (3) are positive for each  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ . In particular,

$$\sigma_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\lambda_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the weights in the Gauss formula (1).

(d) Formula (3) has degree of exactness (at least)  $4n - 2\ell + 2$  if  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$ .

**Anti-Gaussian and averaged Gaussian formulae for measures with constant recurrence coefficients extended (N. submitted)**

**Theorem 11** Consider a measure  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ . Then the following holds:

(a) The anti-Gaussian formula (4) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  is given by

$$t_\mu = \tau_\mu^*, \quad w_\mu = 2\sigma_\mu^*, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1,$$

where  $\tau_\mu^*$  are the Stieltjes nodes and  $\sigma_\mu^*$  the corresponding weights in the respective Gauss-Kronrod formula (3).

(b) The averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  gives the same error estimate for  $R_n^G(f)$  as the Gauss-Kronrod formula (3), that is,

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_n^K(f)| = |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{2n+1}^{AvG}(f)| = \frac{|Q_n^G(f) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f)|}{2}.$$

(c) The averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5) for all  $n \geq 2\ell - 1$  has degree of exactness (at least)  $4n - 2\ell + 2$ .

## Numerical examples

1. We approximate the integral

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{\omega t^2} \sqrt{1-t^2}}{1+8t^2} dt,$$

using the Gauss formula (1) for the Bernstein-Szegö measure  $d\sigma(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{1+8t^2} dt$ ,  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ , which is symmetric and belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_2^{(0,1/4)}[-1,1]$ . We want to estimate the error by means of either the Gauss-Kronrod formula (3) or the anti-Gaussian formula (4) or the averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5), all for the measure  $d\sigma$ .

We have the following estimates

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_n^K(f)| = |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{2n+1}^{AvG}(f)| = \frac{|Q_n^G(f) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f)|}{2}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$|R_n^G(f)| \simeq |Q_n^G(f) - Q_{n+1}^{AG}(f)|. \quad (14)$$

$\omega$	$n$	Estimate (13)	Error
0.25	5	0.34293879340445752667(-8)	0.34293879340998812119(-8)
0.5	5	0.12071257186969120661(-6)	0.12071257193337309965(-6)
1.0	5	0.46884850418394618087(-5)	0.46884851244292840060(-5)
	10	0.15976103307723944006(-12)	0.15976103307723944018(-12)
2.0	5	0.22385466978816500828(-3)	0.22385480636040099772(-3)
	10	0.25588826185324556767(-9)	0.25588826185324577168(-9)
4.0	5	0.16801749617265879605(-1)	0.16802124385775649115(-1)
	10	0.66155361907837291451(-6)	0.66155361907895200196(-6)

$\omega$	$n$	Estimate (14)	Error
0.25	5	0.68587758680891505335(-8)	0.34293879340998812119(-8)
0.5	5	0.24142514373938241321(-6)	0.12071257193337309965(-6)
1.0	5	0.93769700836789236173(-5)	0.46884851244292840060(-5)
	10	0.31952206615447888013(-12)	0.15976103307723944018(-12)
2.0	5	0.44770933957633001655(-3)	0.22385480636040099772(-3)
	10	0.51177652370649113534(-9)	0.25588826185324577168(-9)
4.0	5	0.33603499234531759210(-1)	0.16802124385775649115(-1)
	10	0.13231072381567458290(-5)	0.66155361907895200196(-6)

2. We approximate the integral

$$\int_{-2}^2 \frac{\cos 2t}{a^2 + t^2} d\sigma(t),$$

using the Gauss formula (1) for the measure  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[-2, 2]$ , which is such that the corresponding orthogonal polynomials satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{n+1}(t) &= t\pi_n(t) - \beta_n\pi_{n-1}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \pi_0(t) &= 1, \quad \pi_{-1}(t) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\beta_0 = 2\pi, \quad \beta_1 = 2, \quad \beta_n = 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Obviously,  $d\sigma$  is symmetric and belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_2^{(0,1)}[-2, 2]$ . We want to estimate the error of the Gauss formula (1) by means of either the Gauss-Kronrod formula (3) or the anti-Gaussian formula (4) or the averaged Gaussian formula obtained by the quadrature sum (5), all for the measure  $d\sigma$ .

$a$	$n$	Estimate (13)	Error
0.5	5	0.15950252604625732883(1)	0.17293193666037607515(1)
	10	0.13334890750170194607(0)	0.13240370886221642898(0)
	15	0.11226247409308208931(-1)	0.11232946796027654841(-1)
	20	0.94515115316491529235(-3)	0.94510366684431348902(-3)
1.0	5	0.17183249892423693967(0)	0.17323028963303109824(0)
	10	0.13976983112059154117(-2)	0.13976059136176722502(-2)
	15	0.11364152054685362994(-4)	0.11364158162809131302(-4)
	20	0.92397587839372000735(-7)	0.92397587435582498851(-7)
2.0	5	0.89285471186899925240(-2)	0.89298880754113225641(-2)
	10	0.13409566916884800128(-5)	0.13409566620469198678(-5)
	15	0.19936912912780380925(-9)	0.19936912912845902956(-9)
	20	0.29641560144932457723(-13)	0.29641560144932443240(-13)
4.0	5	0.43856789247808432022(-3)	0.43856819491682257127(-3)
	10	0.30243873825096542187(-9)	0.30243873825087803545(-9)

$a$	$n$	Estimate (14)	Error
0.5	5	0.31900505209251465766(1)	0.17293193666037607515(1)
	10	0.26669781500340389215(0)	0.13240370886221642898(0)
	15	0.22452494818616417862(-1)	0.11232946796027654841(-1)
	20	0.18903023063298305847(-2)	0.94510366684431348902(-3)
1.0	5	0.34366499784847387933(0)	0.17323028963303109824(0)
	10	0.27953966224118308235(-2)	0.13976059136176722502(-2)
	15	0.22728304109370725988(-4)	0.11364158162809131302(-4)
	20	0.18479517567874400147(-6)	0.92397587435582498851(-7)
2.0	5	0.17857094237379985048(-1)	0.89298880754113225641(-2)
	10	0.26819133833769600255(-5)	0.13409566620469198678(-5)
	15	0.39873825825560761849(-9)	0.19936912912845902956(-9)
	20	0.59283120289864915446(-13)	0.29641560144932443240(-13)
4.0	5	0.87713578495616864044(-3)	0.43856819491682257127(-3)
	10	0.60487747650193084374(-9)	0.30243873825087803545(-9)

## Open questions

- Identify the measures  $d\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\ell^{(\alpha_e, \alpha_o, \beta)}[a, b]$ .
- Are other measures  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  such that the Stieltjes polynomial  $\pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot) = \pi_{n+1}^*(\cdot; d\sigma)$  has a special form (like  $\pi_{n+1}^*(t) = \pi_{n+1}(t) - \beta\pi_{n-1}(t)$ )?
- Are other measures  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  such that the corresponding Gauss-Kronrod and averaged Gaussian formulae coincide?
- Are measures  $d\sigma$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  such that the corresponding averaged Gaussian formula has maximum degree of exactness and anyway better than  $2n + 1$ ?

## References

- [1] D. CALVETTI AND L. REICHEL, *Symmetric Gauss-Lobatto and modified anti-Gauss rules*, BIT, 43 (2003), pp. 541-554.
- [2] A.S. CVETKOVIĆ AND M.M. SPALEVIĆ, *Estimating the error of Gauss-Turán quadrature formulas using their extensions*, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., 41 (2014), pp. 1-12.
- [3] D.LJ. DJUKIĆ, L. REICHEL AND M.M. SPALEVIĆ, *Truncated generalized averaged Gauss quadrature rules*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 308 (2016), pp. 408-418.
- [4] D.LJ. DJUKIĆ, L. REICHEL, M.M. SPALEVIĆ AND J.D. TOMANOVIĆ, *Internality of generalized averaged Gauss rules and their truncations with Bernstein-Szegő weights*, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., 45 (2016), pp. 405-419.
- [5] S. EHRICH, *On stratified extensions of Gauss-Laguerre and Gauss-Hermite quadrature formulas*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 140 (2002), pp. 291-299.
- [6] W. GAUTSCHI, *A survey of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae*, in E.B. Christoffel: The influence of his work on mathematics and the physical sciences, P.L. Butzer and F. Fehér, eds., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, pp. 72-147.
- [7] W. GAUTSCHI AND S.E. NOTARIS, *Stieltjes polynomials and related quadrature formulae for a class of weight functions*, Math. Comp., 65 (1996), pp. 1257-1268.
- [8] A.I. HASCELIK, *Degree optimal average quadrature rules for the generalized Hermite weight function*, Int. Math. Forum, 1 (2006), pp. 1743-1756.
- [9] A.I. HASCELIK, *Modified anti-Gauss and degree optimal average formulas for Gegenbauer measure*, Appl. Numer. Math., 58 (2008), pp. 171-179.
- [10] D.P. LAURIE, *Stratified sequences of nested quadrature formulas*, Quaest. Math., 15 (1992), pp. 365-384.

- [11] D.P. LAURIE, *Anti-Gaussian quadrature formulas*, Math. Comp., 65 (1996), pp. 739-747.
- [12] S.E. NOTARIS, *Gauss-Kronrod quadrature formulae - A survey of fifty years of research*, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., 45 (2016), pp. 371-404.
- [13] S.E. NOTARIS, *Anti-Gaussian quadrature formulae based on the zeros of Stieltjes polynomials*, BIT, 58 (2018), pp. 179-198.
- [14] S.E. NOTARIS, *Stieltjes polynomials and related quadrature formulae for a class of weight functions, II*, submitted.
- [15] L. REICHEL, G. RODRIGUEZ AND T. TANG, *New block quadrature rules for the approximation of matrix functions*, Linear Algebra Appl., 502 (2016), pp. 299-326.
- [16] L. REICHEL, M.M. SPALEVIĆ AND T. TANG, *Generalized averaged Gauss quadrature rules for the approximation of matrix functionals*, BIT, 56 (2016), pp. 1045-1067.
- [17] M.M. SPALEVIĆ, *On generalized averaged Gaussian formulas*, Math. Comp., 76 (2007), pp. 1483-1492.
- [18] M.M. SPALEVIĆ, *A note on generalized averaged Gaussian formulas*, Numer. Algorithms, 46 (2007), pp. 253-264.
- [19] M.M. SPALEVIĆ, *On generalized averaged Gaussian formulas.II*, Math. Comp., 86 (2017), pp. 1877-1885.