

# On the inverse problem associated to $RA = A^{s+1}R$ when $R^k = I$

**Leila Lebtahi**   Óscar Romero   Néstor Thome

**Facultat de Ciències Matemàtiques, Dpt. de Matemàtiques  
Universitat de València, Spain**

Dpto de Comunicaciones, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

Instituto Universitario de Matemática Multidisciplinar

Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

**NASCA 2018**  
2-6 July 2018  
Kalamata, Greece



- 1 Characterizations of  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices
- 2 Computing  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices
- 3 Algorithm for finding matrices  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent
- 4 Numerical example and conclusions



# Definition of $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices

## Definition

Let  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix, that is,  $\mathbf{R}^k = \mathbf{I}$ . A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is called  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent if it satisfies

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{s+1}\mathbf{R}$$

Extends to:

Matrices	Condition
idempotent: $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}, s = 1$
$\{s + 1\}$ -potent: $\mathbf{A}^{s+1} = \mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}$
involutory: $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{I}$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}, s = 2, \mathbf{A}$ nonsingular
centrosymmetric: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{J}$ is an exchange matrix, $s = 0$
mirrorsymmetric: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} \oplus \mathbf{J} \oplus \mathbf{I}$ (on sec. diag.), $s = 0$



## Two problems related to $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices

Characterizations of  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices:

L-S-T-W, Matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{RA} = \mathbf{A}^{s+1}\mathbf{R}$  when  $\mathbf{R}^k = \mathbf{I}$ ,  
*Linear Algebra and its Applications* 439 (2013) 1017–1023

Construction of a group from  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices:

C-L-S-T, On a matrix group constructed from an  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrix,  
*Linear Algebra and its Applications* 461 (2014) 200–210

**Direct Problem:** How to construct this class of matrices?

Construction of  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices for a given  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ .



## Two problems related to $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices

Characterizations of  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices:

L-S-T-W, Matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{RA} = \mathbf{A}^{s+1}\mathbf{R}$  when  $\mathbf{R}^k = \mathbf{I}$ ,  
*Linear Algebra and its Applications* 439 (2013) 1017–1023

Construction of a group from  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices:

C-L-S-T, On a matrix group constructed from an  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrix,  
*Linear Algebra and its Applications* 461 (2014) 200–210

**Direct Problem:** How to construct this class of matrices?

Construction of  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices for a given  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Inverse Problem:** How to compute  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrices  $\mathbf{R}$ ?

Design of an algorithm to construct matrices  $\mathbf{R}$  such that a given matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is  
 $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent.

Let

- $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n_s - 1\}$  where  $n_s = (s + 1)^k - 1$
- $\varphi : S \cup \{0\} \rightarrow S \cup \{0\}$
- $\varphi(j) := b_j$
- $b_j$  is the smallest nonnegative integer such that

$$b_j \equiv j(s + 1) \pmod{(n_s)}$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a bijective function.



## Characterizations of $\{R, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices ...



## Theorem

Let

- $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent.

(b)  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable,  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_0$ ,

$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_j$  where  $j \in S$  and  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{n_s}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{n_s}$ ,

$\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{n_s}$  are the projectors of the spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  associated to the eigenvalues  $0, \omega_{n_s}^1, \dots, \omega_{n_s}^{n_s-1}, 1$ , respectively.



## Theorem

Let

- $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s+1, k\}$ -potent.

(c)  $\mathbf{A}^{n_s+1} = \mathbf{A}$ ,

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_0, \quad \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_j \quad \text{where } j \in S \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{n_s}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{n_s},$$

$\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{n_s}$  are the projectors of the spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  associated to the eigenvalues  $0, \omega_{n_s}^1, \dots, \omega_{n_s}^{n_s-1}, 1$ , respectively.

## Theorem

Let

- $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{K}, s + 1\}$ -potent.

(d)  $\mathbf{A}^\# = \mathbf{A}^{n_s - 1}$ ,

$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_j$  where  $j \in S$  and  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{n_s}\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{n_s}$ ,

$\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{n_s}$  are the projectors of the spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  associated to the eigenvalues  $0, \omega_{n_s}^1, \dots, \omega_{n_s}^{n_s - 1}, 1$ , respectively.

## Theorem

Let

- $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent.
- (e) there are nonsingular matrices:  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$  such that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{R}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{-1}$$

where  $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$  are both  $\{k\}$ -involutory and  $\mathbf{C}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}_1, s + 1, k\}$ -potent.

## DIRECT PROBLEM:

Construction of  $\{R, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices  
for a given  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $R$



## A simplification

The cases  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_n$  only provide the well-known results corresponding to  $\mathbf{A}^{s+1} = \mathbf{A}$ .  
Not interesting !



## A simplification

The cases  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_n$  only provide the well-known results corresponding to  $\mathbf{A}^{s+1} = \mathbf{A}$ .  
Not interesting !

## Diagonalization of $\mathbf{R}$

Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is  $\{k\}$ -involutory, there is a nonsingular matrix  $\mathbf{T} = [ t_1 \quad \dots \quad t_n ]$  such that

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T} \operatorname{diag} (\omega_1 \mathbf{I}_{r_1}, \dots, \omega_{\ell-1} \mathbf{I}_{r_{\ell-1}}, \mathbf{I}_{\ell}) \mathbf{T}^{-1}$$

where  $r_1 + \dots + r_{\ell} = n$  and  $\omega_i \in \Omega_k, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ .



# Computing $\{\mathbf{R}, s+1, k\}$ -potent matrices

## A simplification

The cases  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_n$  only provide the well-known results corresponding to  $\mathbf{A}^{s+1} = \mathbf{A}$ .  
Not interesting !

## Diagonalization of $\mathbf{R}$

Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is  $\{k\}$ -involutory, there is a nonsingular matrix  $\mathbf{T} = [t_1 \ \dots \ t_n]$  such that

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T} \operatorname{diag} (\omega_1 \mathbf{I}_{r_1}, \dots, \omega_{\ell-1} \mathbf{I}_{r_{\ell-1}}, \mathbf{I}_{\ell}) \mathbf{T}^{-1}$$

where  $r_1 + \dots + r_{\ell} = n$  and  $\omega_i \in \Omega_k, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ .

We can assume  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_{\ell}$ . Otherwise, we compute

- $\omega_i \mathbf{R}$  instead of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  for  $k$  odd
- $-\mathbf{R}$  instead of  $\mathbf{R}$ , for  $k$  even

## Diagonalization of $\mathbf{A}$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S} \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\lambda_i \in \{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s}, i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T \\ \vdots \\ y_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

## Spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{A}$

Denoting  $\mathbf{P}_i = s_i y_i^T$  we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{P}_i$$





## Diagonalization of $\mathbf{A}$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S} \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\lambda_i \in \{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s}, i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T \\ \vdots \\ y_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

## Spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{A}$

Denoting  $\mathbf{P}_i = s_i y_i^T$  we have 
$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{P}_i.$$

## Main idea:

Construction of the  $s_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's in terms of the  $t_i$ 's.

## Computing $\{\mathbf{R}, s+1, k\}$ -potent matrices

Construction of the  $s_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's in terms of the  $t_i$ 's

Since  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)} = \mathbf{P}_j$  must hold, we can choose

$$s_j = \mathbf{R}s_{\varphi(j)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^T y_j = y_{\varphi(j)}$$

for  $j \in S$  in order to satisfy the equality  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{s+1}\mathbf{R}$ .

### Example 1

If  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$  is a  $\{4\}$ -involutory matrix and  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(i, -i, 1)$

Construction of $s_i$ 's	Construction of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$s_1 = s'_{\varphi(j)} = t_1 + t_2 + t_3$ $s_2 = s'_j = it_1 - it_2 + t_3$ $s_3 = t_3$	$\mathbf{A} = \omega^j \mathbf{P}_1 + \omega^{\varphi(j)} \mathbf{P}_2 + \mathbf{P}_3$

where  $\omega = \omega_{(s+1)^4-1}$  and  $j \in S$ .

## Example 2

If  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{TDT}^{-1}$  is a  $\{4\}$ -involutory matrix and  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, i, i)$

Construction of $s'_i$ 's	Construction of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$s_1 = s'_{\varphi(j)} = t_1 + t_3$ $s_2 = s'_j = -t_1 + it_3$ $s_3 = s'_{\varphi(a)} = t_2 + t_4$ $s_4 = s'_a = -t_2 + it_4$	$\mathbf{A} = \omega^j \mathbf{P}_1 + \omega^{\varphi(j)} \mathbf{P}_2 + \omega^a \mathbf{P}_3 + \omega^{\varphi(a)} \mathbf{P}_4$

where  $\omega = \omega_{(s+1)^4-1}$ ,  $j \in S$ , and moreover  $a \in S - \{j, \varphi(j)\}$  such that  $\varphi(a) \neq a$ .



## Example 3

If  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{TDT}^{-1}$  is a  $\{4\}$ -involutory matrix and  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -i, i, 1)$

Construction of $s'_i$ 's	Construction of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$s_1 = s'_{\varphi(j)} = t_1 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5$ $s_2 = s'_j = -t_1 - it_3 + it_4 + t_5$ $s_3 = s'_{\varphi(a)} = t_2$ $s_4 = s'_a = -t_2$ $s_5 = s'_b = t_5$	$\mathbf{A} = \omega^j \mathbf{P}_1 + \omega^{\varphi(j)} \mathbf{P}_2 + \omega^a \mathbf{P}_3 + \omega^{\varphi(a)} \mathbf{P}_4 + \mathbf{P}_5$

where  $\omega = \omega_{(s+1)^4-1}$ ,  $j \in S$ , and moreover  $a \in S - \{j, \varphi(j)\}$  such that  $\varphi(a) \neq a$  and  $b \in S$  such that  $\varphi(b) = b$ .

# Computing $\{\mathbf{R}, s+1, 2\}$ -potent matrices

## ALGORITHM 1

*Inputs:* An involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ .

*Outputs:* A  $\{\mathbf{R}, s+1, 2\}$ -potent matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and the projectors  $\mathbf{P}_i$ .

**Step 1** Diagonalize  $\mathbf{R}$  as  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T} \text{diag}(-\mathbf{I}_r, \mathbf{I}_{n-r}) \mathbf{T}^{-1}$ .

**Step 2** If  $r > n - r$ , change  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $-\mathbf{R}$  and rearrange as in Step 1.

**Step 3** For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , compute  $s_{2i-1} = t_i + t_{i+r}$  and  $s_{2i} = -t_i + t_{i+r}$ .

**Step 4** For  $i = 2r + 1, \dots, n$ , set  $s_i = t_i$ .

**Step 5** Solve the linear systems  $\mathbf{S}y_i = e_i$ , where  $e_i$  are the canonical basis vectors of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Step 6** Compute  $\mathbf{P}_i = s_i y_i^T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Step 7** For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , compute  $\mathbf{Q}_i = \omega \mathbf{P}_{2i-1} + \omega^{\varphi(1)} \mathbf{P}_{2i}$ .

**Step 8** Compute  $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Q}_i + \sum_{i=2r+1}^n \mathbf{P}_i$ .

End

## Numerical example: an $\{R, 3, 2\}$ -potent matrix

$$s = 2, k = 2, n = 4, \mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1)$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2989 & -1.2069 & 3.5632 & 3.0460 \\ 1.3793 & -2.7241 & 4.1379 & 4.8276 \\ -0.2759 & 1.3448 & -3.8276 & -3.9655 \\ 0.6437 & -2.1379 & 4.5977 & 5.2529 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\mathbf{A} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.3820 - 0.0731i & 1.2435 - 0.0853i & -0.9103 + 0.4877i & -0.9834 + 1.1582i \\ 0.3657 + 0.5202i & 0.6035 - 0.4145i & -1.0241 - 0.3251i & -1.0180 + 0.4064i \\ -0.2845 - 0.1300i & 0.4145 - 0.7803i & 0.0894 + 0.7884i & -0.6421 + 0.9591i \\ 0.3657 + 0.5202i & -0.1036 + 0.2926i & -1.0241 - 0.3251i & -0.3109 - 0.3007i \end{bmatrix}$$



## INVERSE PROBLEM:

How to compute  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrices  $R$   
such that a given matrix  $A$  is  $\{R, s + 1, k\}$ -potent?



### Definition

For a given positive integer  $s$ , the square, complex matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is called

a *potential  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrix* if  $\mathbf{A}^{n_s+1} = \mathbf{A}$ ,

or equivalently,

if  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable and  $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$  is contained in  $\{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s}$ .

### Observation

- $\mathbf{R}$  is completely unspecified here.
- It is generally much easier and faster to test that  $\mathbf{A}^{n_s+1} = \mathbf{A}$  than is to determine the spectrum of  $\mathbf{A}$  and to determine that  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable.



## Before computing $\{k\}$ -involutory matrices $\mathbf{R}$

### ALGORITHM 2

*Inputs:* Integers  $s \geq 1, k \geq 2$ , and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ .

*Output:* A decision on whether  $A$  is potentially  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent or not.

**Step 1** If either  $\mathbf{A}^{n_s+1} = \mathbf{A}$  or,  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable and  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s}$ , then " $\mathbf{A}$  is potentially  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent". Go to End.

**Step 2** " $\mathbf{A}$  is not potentially  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent, and there is no  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent".

End



- The notations  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  denote the Kronecker product and Kronecker sum of two matrices, respectively.
- For any matrix  $\mathbf{X} = [x_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , let  $v(\mathbf{X}) = [v_k] \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2 \times 1}$  be the vector formed by stacking the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$  into a single column vector.  
The expression  $[v(\mathbf{X})]_{\{(j-1)n+1, \dots, (j-1)n+n\}}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , denotes the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- **Property:** If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  then

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \text{Ker}(\mathbf{B}) = \text{Ker} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$



# Principal idempotents

We recall that the principal idempotents associated with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  are given by

$$\mathbf{P}_t = \frac{p_t(\mathbf{A})}{p_t(\lambda_t)} \quad \text{where} \quad p_t(\eta) = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^{\ell} (\eta - \lambda_i)$$

By using the function  $\varphi$  and these projectors, it is possible to consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_0 \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s} \end{bmatrix}.$$



## Solving the inverse problem: Idea

We focus our attention on solving the matrix equations (in the unknown  $\mathbf{R}$ ):

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)} = \mathbf{P}_j\mathbf{R}$$

that is, to find the common solutions to

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)} = \mathbf{P}_j\mathbf{R}, \text{ for } j \in S \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{n_s} = \mathbf{P}_{n_s}\mathbf{R}$$

After vectoring, the Kronecker product allows us to write

$$v(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)}) = v(\mathbf{P}_j\mathbf{R}) \iff (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(j)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)v(\mathbf{R}) = (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{P}_j)v(\mathbf{R}),$$

for  $j \in S$ , and analogously,

$$v(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}_{n_s}) = v(\mathbf{P}_{n_s}\mathbf{R}) \iff (\mathbf{P}_{n_s}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)v(\mathbf{R}) = (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{P}_{n_s})v(\mathbf{R}).$$



## Solving the inverse problem: Idea

By the property about kernels:

we have to find (non trivial) solutions  $v(\mathbf{R})$  of the null space of

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_0) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1}) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{n_s}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s}) \end{bmatrix}$$



## Solving the inverse problem: Idea

By the property about kernels:

we have to find (non trivial) solutions  $v(\mathbf{R})$  of the null space of

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_0) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1}) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{n_s}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s}) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_0 \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{M}}$$



## Solving the inverse problem: Idea

By the property about kernels:

we have to find (non trivial) solutions  $v(\mathbf{R})$  of the null space of

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_0) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1}) \\ (\mathbf{P}_{n_s}^T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) + (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes -\mathbf{P}_{n_s}) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(0)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_0 \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s-1)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s-1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\varphi(n_s)}^T \oplus -\mathbf{P}_{n_s} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{M}}$$

Define  $\Lambda = \{0\} \cup \Omega_{n_s} = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_s}\}$  ordered in the following manner

$$0, \omega_{n_s}^1, \dots, \omega_{n_s}^{n_s-1}, 1$$



## ALGORITHM 3

*Inputs:* Integers  $s \geq 1, k \geq 2$ , and  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ .

*Outputs:* All the  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrices  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent if any such  $\mathbf{R}$  exist.

- Step 1** Apply Algorithm 2 to  $\mathbf{A}$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is not potentially  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent, then no such  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  exists. Go to End.
- Step 2** Compute  $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $\ell$  distinct eigenvalues. Since  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \Lambda$ , there are  $\ell$  indices  $j_t$  with  $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\ell \leq n_s$  such that  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \{\lambda_{j_1}, \lambda_{j_2}, \dots, \lambda_{j_\ell}\}$ .
- Step 3** Compute the principal idempotents associated with the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- Step 4** Compute  $\varphi(j_1), \varphi(j_2), \dots, \varphi(j_\ell)$ .
- Step 5** Compute the submatrix  $\mathbf{M}_A$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  containing only those rows corresponding to eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .





## ALGORITHM 3 (cont.)

- Step 6** Find the general solution  $v$  to  $\mathbf{M}_A v = \mathbf{0}$ . The  $n^2 \times 1$  vector  $v$  will depend on  $d = \dim(\ker(\mathbf{M}_A))$  arbitrary parameters.
- Step 7** If  $v = \mathbf{0}$ , or equivalently, if  $d = 0$ , then go to Step 11.
- Step 8** Treating  $v$  as  $v = v(\mathbf{R})$  for an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  containing  $d$  parameters, recover  $\mathbf{R}$  from  $v$ .
- Step 9** Determine the allowed values for the  $d$  arbitrary parameters so that  $\mathbf{R}^k = \mathbf{I}_n$ . If there are no allowed parameter values, then go to Step 11.
- Step 10** The output is the set of all matrices  $\mathbf{R}$  whose parameter values are allowed.
- Step 11** "There is no  $\{k\}$ -involutory matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent."

End



## Example

For  $s = 2$ ,  $k = 4$  and

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -49i & 40i & -10i \\ 18 - 78i & -15 + 64i & 4 - 16i \\ 72 - 72i & -60 + 60i & 16 - 15i \end{bmatrix},$$

Algorithm 3 provides the solutions

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & -\frac{y}{4} \\ t & -\frac{5t}{6} + \frac{5x}{3} + 2y & \frac{2t}{9} - \frac{5y}{6} - \frac{32x}{45} \\ 4t - 6y - \frac{49x}{5} & -\frac{10t}{3} + \frac{32x}{3} + 8y & \frac{8t}{9} - \frac{10y}{3} - \frac{173x}{45} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $x, y, t \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- An algorithm was designed to solve the direct problem related to  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices considering  $s \geq 1$ .
- An algorithm was designed to solve the inverse problem related to  $\{\mathbf{R}, s + 1, k\}$ -potent matrices considering  $s \geq 1$ .
- The case  $s = 0$  can also be treated but, in this case, matrices are not necessarily diagonalizable. So, both diagonalizable and not diagonalizable cases have to be considered separately.



THANK YOU VERY MUCH !

E-mail: `leila.lebtahi@uv.es`